## SUPERIOR COURT OF WASHINGTON COUNTY OF

In re:		NO.
and	Petitioner,	ORDER RE ADEQUATE CAUSE (MODIFICATION/ADJUSTMENT OF CUSTODY DECREE/PARENTING PLAN/ RESIDENTIAL SCHEDULE) (ORTSC)
	Respondent.	[] Clerk's Action Required

## I. BASIS

- 1.1 A petition requesting the modification/adjustment of the custody decree/parenting plan/residential schedule in this matter has been presented to the court.
- 1.2 A hearing was held on

[Date].

## II. FINDINGS

The court FINDS:

2.1 JURISDICTION.

This court has jurisdiction over the proceeding and the parties.

2.2 SERVICE ON NONMOVING PARTY.

The nonmoving party was served with a copy of the petition for modification/adjustment of custody decree/parenting plan/residential schedule, summons, a proposed parenting plan, and child support worksheet, if any, on [Date].

2.3	TIME ELAPSED SINCE SERVICE ON THE NONMOVING PARTY.			
	have elapsed since the date of The nonmoving party was so have elapsed since the date of	erved outside the state of Washington and more than 60 days		
2.4	RESPONSE.			
	<ul><li>[] The nonmoving party has responded.</li><li>[] The nonmoving party has not responded and is in default.</li></ul>			
2.5	ADEQUATE CAUSE FINDING.			
	[] Adequate cause for hearing	the petition has not been established. the petition has been established. tree is adequate cause for hearing the petition.		
		III. ORDER		
IT IS C	ORDERED:			
[] []	The petition is denied. The matter is set for hearing or trial The matter is set for hearing or trial	natter is set for hearing or trial at the date or time established or to be established.		
	Date: Place:	Time: Room/Department:		
[]	The nonmoving party is in default.			
[]	Other:			
Dated:				
D.	. 11	JUDGE/COMMISSIONER		
Present	tea by:	Approved by:		
Signatu	ure	Signature		
Print or Type Name		Print or Type Name		

ORD RE ADEQUATE CAUSE (ORTSC) - Page 2 of 2
WPF DR 07.0300 (9/2001) - RCW 26.09.260; .270; 26.10.200